Hypertonic milk replacers increase gastrointestinal permeability in healthy dairy calves
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ABSTRACT

Hypertonic milk replacers are commonly used in animal production systems and their effect on the gastrointestinal system of young animals is insufficiently studied. Total lactose inclusion or its partial replacement with dextrose increases intestinal osmotic pressure, which may compromise gastrointestinal barrier function. In this experiment, we investigated the effect of increased osmolality of calf milk replacer (CMR) on gastrointestinal permeability in 30 Holstein Friesian (n = 17) or crossbred (n = 13) bull calves. The osmolality of CMR increased as result of a gradual replacement of lactose by monosaccharides (dextrose and galactose). Calves were acquired from dairy farms that followed a standardized protocol for colostrum management, including 3 feedings of colostrum in the first 24 h. Calves were then transported to the research facility between 0 and 3 d of age, fed a milk replacer with 0% dextrose twice daily for the first 2 wk of age, and subsequently exposed to their respective treatments from 3 until 7 wk of age. Meal size was 3.2 L at 3 wk of age and increased to 3.5 L at 7 wk of age. No solids were provided throughout the study and calves had ad libitum access to water. Treatments included 4 levels of dextrose inclusion (replacing lactose): 0% (L1, n = 5), 13.3% (L2, n = 5), 26.7% (L3, n = 5), and 40% (L4, n = 5) and an additional treatment (G+D, n = 10) that included 20% galactose and 20% dextrose and matched the galactose supply of L1 and the osmolality of L4. Carbohydrates were exchanged based on hexose equivalents. Across treatments, the estimated osmolality ranged from 439 (L1) to 611 mOsm/kg (L4 and G+D). Gastrointestinal permeability was assessed by fractional urinary recovery of indigestible markers (lactulose, D-mannitol, and Cr-EDTA) delivered as a single dose at 3 and 7 wk of age. Marker recoveries were expressed as percentage of oral dose and assessed in 6-h and 24-h quantitative urinary collections. Increasing the osmolality of the CMR linearly increased urinary Cr-EDTA and lactulose recoveries at 3 and 7 wk of age. Lactulose and Cr-EDTA recoveries did not differ between G+D and L4, suggesting that the source of monosaccharide (dextrose and galactose) in CMR had no effect on gastrointestinal permeability. The observed increase in gastrointestinal permeability to large molecules (Cr-EDTA and lactulose) with increased osmolality suggests that hypertonic CMR may compromise gastrointestinal barrier function.
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INTRODUCTION

When considering milk feeds, osmolality (expressed in mOsm/kg) depends on the presence of osmotically active particles dissolved in solution, including electrolytes, oligo- and monosaccharides, AA, and fatty acids (Pearson et al., 2013). Commercial calf milk replacers (CMR) often contain higher levels of minerals (ash fraction) and lactose than bovine raw milk. Lactose levels in CMR are usually as high as 42 to 45% of DM compared with 35% DM for whole milk. Milk replacers fed to calves present a wide range in the percentage of solids per liter of solution, which can vary from 12.5 to 20%. Consequently, the osmolality of CMR can range from slightly hypertonic (just above 300 mOsm/kg) to highly hypertonic (>450 mOsm/kg). Additionally, mixing errors and feeding practices such as the addition of electrolyte powder on top of the milk, mixing CMR powder directly into whole milk, and colostrum supplement mixed into colostrum may increase the osmolality of the solution above 600 mOsm/kg (McGuirk, 2003). In comparison, osmolality of raw bovine milk is an isosmotic feed maintained close to 300 mOsm/kg (Davies and White, 1960; Cerbulis and Farrell, 1976; McGuirk, 2003).

The effects and tolerance boundaries of osmolality on gastrointestinal health of neonatal animals are not well understood. Previous work from Goldblum et al. (1981) showed that the osmolality of the feed itself was not a major determinant of the osmolality of the con-
tents of the stomach or proximal and distal intestine in neonatal dogs. Indeed, as a response to exposure with hypertonic fluids, gastric emptying is delayed to reduce the osmolality of luminal and gastric contents by secretion of hypotonic fluids (Pearson et al., 2013). In addition, Szabo and Fewell (1990) showed that hypertonic solutions (up to 874 mOsm/kg) did not induce significant motor dysfunction on the intestinal motility of neonatal pigs. However, Norris (1973) showed that a hypertonic dye (sodium diatrizoate) with an osmolality of 1,560 mOsm/kg caused a rapid decrease in the height and width of villi as well as a decrease in the height of epithelial cells. According to Kertz and Loften (2013), increased osmolality of milk replacer can lead to digestive disturbances. An increase in osmolality of milk feeding (milk replacer or addition of milk balancer to whole milk) can affect water absorption by the intestines, leading to an increased incidence of diarrhea in calves (Glosson et al., 2015). Although reference values are not well established, fluids with an osmolality above 600 mOsm/kg should be offered with caution (McGuirk, 2003), because the gradient is no longer effective, and absorption in the small intestine is inhibited, possibly leading to osmotic diarrhea (Floren et al., 2016).

Current infant nutrition recommendations propose that the osmolality of enteral feeds for infants should not exceed 450 mOsm/kg (which approximates to an osmolality of 400 mOsm/L), a figure based on a historical consensus, rather than on experimental evidence (Pearson et al., 2013). Exposure to hypertonic solutions has been associated with loss of mucosal integrity in infants with a gastrointestinal tract compromised by extreme prematurity (Pearson et al., 2013). One explanation is the lack of ability of preterm infants to make normal adaptive responses (Pearson et al., 2013). Hypertonic milk replacer meals might therefore induce intestinal injuries when additional risk factors, such as prematurity, already exist (Pearson et al., 2013). Concentration and composition of milk replacers might therefore be as important to calf health as the quality and total amount of nutrients offered (Floren et al., 2016).

The gastrointestinal tract serves the dual role of absorbing valuable nutrients while preventing infiltration of unwanted compounds and molecules (Mani et al., 2012). Intestinal barrier function and intestinal permeability (IP) play an important role in health, and alteration of the gut barrier seems to have multiple consequences facilitating the onset of a variety of diseases (Bischoff et al., 2014). In animal production systems, gastrointestinal tract barrier function is known to be compromised during diarrhea (Klein et al., 2008), weaning (Moerer et al., 2007; Wood et al., 2015), heat stress (Baumgard and Rhoads, 2013; Pearce et al., 2013), and rumen acidosis (Khafipour et al., 2009; Minuti et al., 2014). The direct consequence of intestinal barrier dysfunction is the increased leakage of luminal antigens into the bloodstream, with the potential to initiate an inflammatory response (Kvidera et al., 2017).

Lactulose–d-mannitol and Cr-EDTA permeability tests have been validated to assess gut integrity in humans (Andre et al., 1987; Jalonen, 1991) and in other species, including dogs (Hall and Batt, 1991; Quigg et al., 1993), rats (Turner et al., 1988), and calves (Klein et al., 2007, 2008; Araujo et al., 2015; Wood et al., 2015). A permeability test is a noninvasive diagnostic tool that provides information on the integrity of the mucosa and on its protective barrier function and may help to predict responses of the intestines to many potentially harmful stimuli (e.g., physiological, pharmacological, and nutritional; Klein et al., 2007).

Thus, the objective of this study was to assess the effect of increased osmolality of milk replacer on gastrointestinal permeability in healthy male dairy calves by using urinary recovery of indigestible markers (lactulose, d-mannitol, and Cr-EDTA). The replacement of lactose by monosaccharides (dextrose and galactose) served as a model to study osmolality.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was conducted between October and December 2016 at the Calf Research Facility of Trouw Nutrition Research & Development (Sint Anthonis, the Netherlands). All procedures described in this article complied with the Dutch Law on Experimental Animals, which complies with ETS123 (Council of Europe 1985 and the 86/609/EEC Directive) and were approved by the animal welfare authority (DEC Utrecht, the Netherlands).

Animals and Experimental Design

In total, 30 Holstein Friesian (n = 17) or crossbred (with at least 40% Holstein Friesian, n = 13) male calves were acquired from dairy farms. At the farm of origin, a standardized protocol for colostrum management was applied, including 3 feedings of colostrum in the first 24 h: 3 L within the first 3 h after birth followed by 2 feedings of 2 L. Calves were then transported to the research facility between 0 and 3 d after birth and the level of immunoglobulins in blood was measured within 48 to 72 h after birth. Mean (± SEM) BW upon arrival was 44.9 ± 1.1 kg. All calves were fed the same experimental diet (L1, 0% dextrose) up to 3 wk of age and were then exposed to their respective experimental diet until 7 wk of age. Calves brought into the research...
facility in the same week were considered 1 batch (n = 6). Measurement periods were then staggered as 5 batches of calves were purchased for the study. Calves were blocked based on week of arrival (batch). Within blocks, calves were randomly assigned to 1 of 5 experimental diets and exposed to their respective diet for 5 wk. Gastrointestinal permeability was assessed at 3 and 7 wk of age. The first permeability assessment was performed 3 d after the first exposure to treatments to evaluate the effect of a short-term exposure. The second assessment was performed at 7 wk of age to evaluate the effect of long-term exposure (4 wk) to treatments.

**Housing**

Calves were housed indoors in individual pens (1.22 × 2.13 m), separated by galvanized bar fences, and equipped with 50% rubber slatted floors in the front and a 50% laying area, including a mattress covered with flax straw in the back. The minimum temperature and relative humidity in the calf facility were maintained at 15°C and <80%, respectively. Calves were exposed to daylight and artificial light from 0600 to 2200 h and to a night light during the remainder of the day.

**Diets and Feeding**

Five experimental diets defined a dose response with 4 levels of dextrose inclusion (replacing lactose): 0% (L1, n = 5), 13.3% (L2, n = 5), 26.7% (L3, n = 5), and 40% (L4, n = 5) and an additional treatment (G+D, n = 10) including 20% galactose and 20% dextrose (Figure 1). The remaining 60% of the formula was identical for all treatments and consisted of 50% CMR fat concentrate (Trouw Nutrition, Deventer, the Netherlands), 22% milk protein concentrate, 20% whey protein concentrate (75% CP), and standard mineral and vitamin CMR supplements (Trouw Nutrition, Putten, the Netherlands). Carbohydrates were exchanged based on hexose equivalents. The G+D treatment helped distinguish whether calves were sensitive to high CMR osmolality or whether any detected effect could be caused by the replacement of galactose by dextrose at the highest level of osmolality in the study. The calculated osmolality of the CMR ranged from 439 (L1) to 611 mOsm/kg (L4 and G+D). The contrast between the lowest and the highest glucose inclusion level was high to allow sufficient power as well as to understand to what extent osmolality affected gastrointestinal permeability.

Nutrient composition of the treatments is shown in Table 1. Milk replacer was reconstituted with water and supplied in a teat bucket at 40°C. Milk replacer concentration was adjusted for each treatment between 150.0 g/L (L1) and 152.5 g/L (L4 and G+D) to standardize the carbohydrate delivery among all calves. Milk replacer was provided daily in 2 equally sized meals at 0700 and 1600 h. Meal size was 3.2 L at 3 wk of age and 3.5 L at 7 wk of age. Calves were allowed to consume the milk for 15 min, after which refusals

---

**Figure 1.** Schematic representation of diet formulation. Treatments included 4 levels of dextrose inclusion (replacing lactose): 0% (L1, n = 5), 13.3% (L2, n = 5), 26.7% (L3, n = 5), and 40% (L4, n = 5) and an additional treatment (G+D, n = 10) that included 20% galactose and 20% dextrose, which matched the galactose supply of L1 and the osmolality of L4.
were withdrawn and weighed. No solids were provided throughout the study and water was offered ad libitum in buckets.

**Measurements**

Milk replacer components were sampled for analyses at the start of the study. Fecal spot samples were collected once weekly by manual stimulation from 3 to 7 wk of age. Gastrointestinal permeability was assessed using indigestible markers. Lactulose (0.4 g/kg of BW; Sigma-Aldrich, Zwijndrecht, the Netherlands), D-mannitol (0.12 g/kg of BW; Sigma-Aldrich), and Cr-EDTA (0.1 g/kg of BW; Masterlab, Boxmeer, the Netherlands) were dissolved separately in 100 mL of warm water. The solutions were mixed together with the morning CMR meal at 3 and 7 wk of age. Urine was quantitatively collected during 2 periods; 0 to 6 h and 6 to 24 h after marker administration according to Branco Pardal et al. (1995). Urine was directly acidified to pH ≤2 with sulfuric acid to prevent microbial activity. Urine losses were estimated by visual assessment and scored from 1 to 3 (1 = small loss; 2 = large loss; 3 = total loss).

**Chemical Analysis**

Samples of milk replacer were analyzed for DM, crude ash, mineral content (Na, K, Ca, P, and Mg), carbohydrates (lactose, dextrose, and galactose), crude fat, and CP. Fecal samples were analyzed for DM and pH. Urine samples were analyzed for minerals (Na, K, Cl, and P), urea, creatinine, and for marker concentrations (lactulose, D-mannitol, and Cr). All samples were processed and analyzed at Masterlab (Boxmeer, the Netherlands). The DM content was determined by drying to a constant weight in a 103°C stove during 4 h (EC 152/2009; EC, 2009). Crude ash was analyzed by incineration in a muffle furnace by combustion for 4 h at 550°C (EC 152/2009; EC, 2009). Crude fat was determined by treating the sample with hydrochloric acid and then extracting with petroleum ether (EC 152/2009; EC, 2009). Crude protein content was analyzed by combustion according to the Dumas method (Etheridge et al., 1998; ISO 16634-1; ISO, 2008a). Fecal pH was measured using a calibrated pH meter according to NEN-EN-ISO 10523 (ISO, 2008b). Carbohydrates were determined by titrimetric method according to EEG 71/250/EEG (1971) for lactose and EC 152/2009 (EC, 2009) for dextrose and galactose. Urea was analyzed by a 2-step enzymatic colorimetric analysis, hydrolyzing urea to ammonium and CO₂. Ammonium ions were detected using a modified Berthelot reaction (10505, Human Diagnostics, Wiesbaden, Germany). Creatinine was analyzed by a kinetic colorimetric analyses, based upon the Jaffe reaction (10051, Human Diagnostics). Mineral content (Na, K, Ca, P, and Mg) were analyzed using inductively coupled plasma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>L1</th>
<th>L2</th>
<th>L3</th>
<th>L4</th>
<th>G+D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nutrient: DM, g/kg of product</td>
<td>946</td>
<td>964</td>
<td>955</td>
<td>964</td>
<td>974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude fat</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactose</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dextrose</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galactose</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude ash</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minerals Na</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osmolality, mOsm/kg</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osmolality, mOsm/kg</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Treatments included 4 levels of dextrose inclusion (replacing lactose): 0% (L1, n = 5), 13.3% (L2, n = 5), 26.7% (L3, n = 5), and 40% (L4, n = 5) and an additional treatment (G+D, n = 10) that included 20% galactose and 20% dextrose, which matched the galactose supply of L1 and the osmolality of L4.

2. Osmolality (in moles per kilogram of solvent and expressed in mOsm/kg) was calculated according to Constable et al. (2009) by adding osmolality of carbohydrates (lactose, dextrose, and galactose) and minerals (Na, K, Cl, P, Ca, and Mg).
mass spectrometry (ICP-MS; PerkinElmer ICP-MS 300D, PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA) according to NEN-EN 15510 (2017). Chloride was analyzed by a colorimetric analysis based on the improved Fried method, using mercuric 2,4,6-tripyridyl-s-triazine (DICTL-250, BioAssay Systems, Hayward, CA). For the preparation of chromium measurements, 0.5 mL of nitric acid was added to 1 mL of urine sample. After a 4-h incubation at 95°C, MilliQ water (Millipore, Billerica, MA) was added to the solution until a final volume of 15 mL was reached. Samples were analyzed by ICP-MS (PerkinElmer ICP-MS 300D). For the quantification of samples, a calibration curve of chromium (0, 0.005, 0.02, 0.1, and 0.5 mg/L) was used and the results were corrected using an internal standard (germanium 74). For the extraction of D-mannitol and lactulose, urine samples were diluted using maltitol as the internal standard. These extracts were then analyzed using a Phenomenex Luna 5u NH2 RP 18 250-mm × 4.6-mm column (Phenomenex, Utrecht, the Netherlands) on a Thermo Endura liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometer (heated electrospray ionization source) with an Ultimate 3000 pump and autosampler (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). The elution buffer was a blend of 75% acetonitrile and 25% water containing 1 mmol/L formate.

Calculations and Statistical Analysis

Based on the outcome of a study from Branco Pardal et al. (1995), for 7 calves per treatment group fed either a CMR with skimmed milk powder or a CMR with antigenic soybean flour, a standard deviation of 1.7% was assumed for Cr-EDTA urinary recovery in a 24-h urine collection period. The minimal meaningful difference between both treatments was considered to be 3.6%. The minimal sample size was therefore 4 calves per treatment group. Thus, 5 calves per treatment group were used in the dose response (L1, L2, L3, and L4) and 10 calves for the G+D treatment group.

Marker recoveries were expressed as a percentage of oral dose and assessed for the 6- and 24-h quantitative urinary collection periods. The IP index was determined as the ratio between urinary recovery (%) of lactulose and D-mannitol (Bischoff et al., 2014). Treatments L1, L2, L3, and L4 were equally spaced in terms of lactose by dextrose exchange level (and thus osmolality). Therefore, linear and quadratic responses to dextrose inclusion were evaluated. Linear and quadratic regressions were performed to analyze the relation between lactose by dextrose exchange level and gastrointestinal permeability, as well as all other studied parameters. The G+D diet was only compared with L4. Dependent variables were analyzed by mixed model analysis using the MIXED procedure of SAS (SAS Institute Inc., 2013).

Calf was considered the experimental unit, including treatment as fixed effect and block as random effect, and including the interaction between treatment and time. Time entered the model as a repeated statement. Body weight entered the model as covariate and was removed when \( P > 0.30 \). Calves with large (score 2) or total (score 3) urine losses within the 24-h collection period were removed from the analysis of all parameters measured in urine. Cook’s distance (D) was used as an estimate of influential data points. A general accepted cut-off rule for Cook’s D is set at \( 4/n \). In the current study, the threshold for the regression analysis would then be \( D > 0.24 \). We decided, however, to remove only extreme measurements with \( D > 0.4 \) for the dose response analysis. Results are presented as least squares means and standard errors of the mean. Differences were considered significant at \( P \leq 0.05 \) and trends reported when \( P \leq 0.1 \).

RESULTS

Within the 24-h urine collection, 5 calves at 3 wk of age and 2 calves at 7 wk of age had large (score 2) urine losses as estimated by visual assessment. Urinary observations for these calves were therefore excluded from the data set. In addition, 1 calf was identified as an outlier for Cr-EDTA recovery (D >0.40) and was removed from the regression analysis at 3 and 7 wk of age.

General Health, Urine Volume, Urine Chemistry, and Urine Electrolytes

Osmolality and carbohydrate composition of CMR did not affect growth, fecal DM, and fecal pH from wk 3 to 7 of age (data not shown).

Osmolality of CMR did not affect urinary urea and creatinine or urinary electrolytes at 3 wk of age (Table 2). Urinary volume tended to increase and urine P tended to decrease with increasing osmolality at 7 wk of age (\( P < 0.1 \)). Urinary volume, urinary creatinine, and urea were higher for calves fed L4 compared with calves fed G+D at 7 wk of age (\( P < 0.05 \)).

Assessment of Gastrointestinal Permeability

Results for marker recoveries in urine are presented in Table 3. Lactulose, D-mannitol, and Cr-EDTA recoveries tended to increase for the 6-h collection period at 3 wk of age (\( P < 0.1 \)). For the 24-h collection pe-
riod, lactulose ($P = 0.10$) and Cr-EDTA recoveries ($P < 0.05$) increased linearly with osmolality at 3 and 7 wk of age (Figure 2). D-Mannitol recovery increased with osmolality at 3 wk of age ($P = 0.05$). Osmolality differences did not affect the IP index. Lactulose and Cr-EDTA recoveries did not differ between G+D and L4. For the 24-h collection period, d-mannitol recovery tended to be higher for calves fed G+D than for calves fed L4 at 7 wk of age ($P < 0.1$). The IP index was higher in calves fed L4 than in calves fed G+D at 3 wk of age ($P < 0.05$) for the 6-h collection period but not for the 24-h collection period.

**DISCUSSION**

In the current study, gastrointestinal integrity following the ingestion of hypertonic CMR was assessed in 30 healthy male dairy calves using the lactulose-
d-mannitol and Cr-EDTA permeability tests. Because no differences were detected in lactulose and Cr-EDTA recoveries between L4 and G+D, results suggest that the monosaccharide source in CMR (dextrose and galactose) does not affect gastrointestinal paracellular permeability. This also indicates that the observed effects of osmolality on gastrointestinal permeability are due only to osmolality differences rather than a lack of galactose or a surplus of dextrose. The greater absorption of large molecules (lactulose and Cr-EDTA) at 3 and 7 wk of age may indicate a diminished intestinal barrier function caused by increased paracellular permeability of the gut. The results are therefore consistent with previous findings from Laker and Menzies (1977), who showed that absorption of lactulose in human subjects was significantly enhanced by increased osmolality of the test solution (from 275 to 2,000 mOsm/kg). This increase in permeability to large probes has been attributed to an alteration in the condition of the intercellular tight junctions, which become leaky when exposed to hypertonic solutions (Usising, 1966, 1969). The difference in osmolar concentration between 2 solutions determines the osmotic pressure exerted on the membrane separating them (Cronjé, 2007). The osmolality of plasma is about 300 mOsm/kg, and fluids with osmolalities of about 600 mOsm/kg will therefore exert substantial osmotic pressure on the cell lining in the gut and on the tight junctions that bind them together (Cronjé, 2007). Moderate hyperosmolality may cause structural damage to the tight junction, allowing pathogens and toxins entry to the bloodstream (Kameda et al., 1968; Cronjé, 2007). Similar effects can be observed in the rumen where grain-based diets can double the osmolality of rumen digesta, leading to an increase in the osmotic pressure gradient between the gut circulation and the rumen content (Cronjé, 2005).

In addition to the possible diminished gastrointestinal barrier function, hypertonic solutions (>300 mOsm/kg) have been associated with a delayed abomasal emptying rate (Bell and Razig, 1973; Sen et al., 2006; Pearson et al., 2013), which was shown to increase the incidence of gastrointestinal diseases in calves (Glenn Songer and Miskimins, 2005; Burgstaller et al., 2017). In the present study, osmolality of milk replacer (up to 611 mOsm/kg) did not affect the health of the calves. The study was not, however, designed to detect differences in growth or diarrhea incidence. Results for fecal DM are consistent with previous findings of Azevedo et al. (2016), in which hypertonic CMR (up to 533 mOsm/kg) did not affect fecal scores.

Recoveries of lactulose and d-mannitol as well as IP index found herein for the 6-h collection are in the range of those reported by Klein et al. (2007, 2008), but lower than those reported by Branco Pardal et al. (1995; Table 4). For the 6-h collection period, calves in the current study had an IP index between 0.23 and 0.40. According to Klein et al. (2007), the IP index for a healthy calf fed raw bovine milk is 0.25 ± 0.05 and that of diarrheic calves about 0.59 ± 0.12. The IP index is a useful indicator in case of reduction of the absorptive surface area occurring, for example, following the ingestion of enterotoxic drugs (Klein et al., 2007) or during diarrhea (Klein et al., 2008). It might not be as indicative, however, when excretion of both markers (large and small probe molecules) is increased to the same extent as in the present study. Lactulose recovery in the 6-h collection period was 0.40% for calves fed...
Table 4. Overview of published studies on gastrointestinal barrier function evaluated with urinary excretion of markers in calves (expressed in percentage of oral dose)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Marker dose</th>
<th>Age, wk</th>
<th>Diet</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>6-h urine collection</th>
<th>24-h urine collection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lactulose, %</td>
<td>d-Mannitol, %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branco Pardal et al., 1995</td>
<td>L: 0.4 g/kg of BW</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Milk replacers with components of plant origin</td>
<td>Nonantigenic soybean protein</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>1.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M: 0.1 g/kg of BW Cr-EDTA: 5.5 mg of Cr/kg of BW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Antigenic soybean flour</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klein et al., 2007³</td>
<td>L: 10 g/calf M: 5 g/calf</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Bovine raw milk</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Indomethacin 120 mg</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>2.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klein et al., 2008³</td>
<td>L: 10 g/calf M: 5 g/calf</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Milk replacer fed twice daily</td>
<td>Healthy Cryptosporidium challenge</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood et al., 2015⁴</td>
<td>Cr-EDTA: 30.5 g/calf</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Milk replacer fed 3 times daily</td>
<td>No weaning Step down weaning</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current study⁵</td>
<td>L: 0.4 g/kg of BW M: 0.12 g/kg of BW Cr-EDTA: 0.1 g/kg of BW</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Milk replacers fed twice daily</td>
<td>Level 1 (L1) Level 4 (L4)</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹L = lactulose; M = d-mannitol.
²The IP index was determined as the ratio between urinary recovery (%) of lactulose and d-mannitol (L/M).
³5-h urine collection period.
⁴18-h urine collection period.
⁵Treatments included 4 levels of dextrose inclusion (replacing lactose): 0% (L1, n = 5), 13.3% (L2, n = 5), 26.7% (L3, n = 5), and 40% (L4, n = 5) and an additional treatment (G+D, n = 10) that included 20% galactose and 20% dextrose, which matched the galactose supply of L1 and the osmolality of L4.
L1 (439 mOsm/kg) and 0.76% for calves fed L4 (611 mOsm/kg) at 3 wk of age. Comparatively, Klein et al. (2007) reported a lactulose recovery of 0.58% for calves fed raw bovine milk and 1.09% for calves challenged with an enterotoxic drug (120 mg of indomethacin; Table 4). Urinary recovery of Cr-EDTA in the 24-h collection period was 22 and 37% higher in calves fed L4 than in those fed L1 at 3 and 7 wk of age, respectively. Similarly, urinary recovery of lactulose was 17 and 23% higher in calves fed L4 than in those fed L1 at 3 and 7 wk of age, respectively. Hypertonic milk replacers (>600 mOsm/kg) therefore induce a significant increase in gastrointestinal permeability, which might be of clinical relevance as gut permeability is maintained in a narrow range (Table 4).

The model for increased osmolality of milk replacer used in this study was induced by the gradual replacement of lactose by dextrose and galactose. This model might not, however, be representative of increased osmolality of milk replacer induced by an elevation of mineral content or of the concentration of the solution. In addition, as all calves were fed L1 for the first 2 wk of life, the observed effects of the experimental diets on gastrointestinal permeability could be due to the change in diet from wk 2 to wk 3 of age. However, because the effect of treatment was also detected at 7 wk of age, it is likely that the observed differences in gastrointestinal permeability were induced by the osmolality differences of the milk replacer itself. Further investigations carried out on a large number of animals are needed to evaluate the clinical implications for calf health, if any, of the observed differences.

CONCLUSIONS

Increasing osmolality of calf milk replacer by exchanging lactose with dextrose affects gastrointestinal permeability. In the 24-h urine collection period, we observed elevations of about 20 to 30% in lactulose and Cr-EDTA recovery between calves fed milk replacers at 3 and 7 wk of age, respectively. However, because the effect of treatment was also detected at 7 wk of age, it is likely that the observed differences in gastrointestinal permeability were induced by the osmolality differences of the milk replacer itself. Further investigations carried out on a large number of animals are needed to evaluate the clinical implications for calf health, if any, of the observed differences.
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